Prevalence, Determinants and Consequences of Risky Alcohol Consumption of Australian University Students

Lisa A. MacGregor (B. Psych)

This research report is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

Master of Clinical Psychology

School of Psychology

Faculty of Science and Information Technology

University of Newcastle, Australia.

November, 2017

Statement of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library*, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the copyright Act 1968.

*Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.

Date: 17th November, 2017

Lisa MacGregor

Acknowledgement of Collaboration

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis has been done in collaboration with other researchers. I have included as part of this thesis a statement clearly outlining the extent of collaboration, with whom and under what auspices.

I contributed to the development of the research question, the database search, the statistical analysis, the interpretation of results and editing of the manuscript. Professor John Germov, Tara Renae McGee, Toni Schofield, Jo Lindsay, Julie Hepworth and Rose Leontini contributed to the formulation of the methodology and data collection. Professor Billie Bonevski and Dr Ross Wilkinson contributed to the development of the research question, the interpretation of results, and editing of the manuscript.

Date: 17th November, 2017

Lisa MacGregor

Acknowledgements

Disclosure: The Alcohol and University Life Survey (AULS) is part of a wider study funded by a grant from the Australian Research Council (ARC) Linkage Projects program—Alcohol Use and Harm Minimisation among Australian University Students (LP100100471). Through this grant, funding was provided by the ARC and contributions were also made by Linkage Partners (NSW Ministry of Health, VicHealth, and University Colleges Australia (formerly Association of Heads of Australian University Colleges and Halls). The ARC had no further role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for the publication. Representatives from the Linkage Partner organisations provided feedback on the study design and development of the measurement instruments. They were also provided with an opportunity to comment on this paper before it was submitted for publication. Final responsibility for the content rests with the authors.

Acknowledgement of Original project team of AULS Data Collection:

I would like to extend my gratitude and appreciation to all of the following researchers for permitting the use of their data for this research thesis.

John Germov: Faculty of Education and Arts, the University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, 2308, Australia.

Tara Renae McGee: School of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Griffith University, 176 Messines Ridge Road, Mount Gravatt, Queensland, 4122, Australia.

Toni Schofield: Discipline of Behavioural and Social Sciences in Health, C42 - Cumberland Campus, The University of Sydney, Lidcombe, New South Wales, 2141, Australia.

Jo Lindsay: School of Social Sciences, Monash University, Victoria, 3800, Australia. **Julie Hepworth:** School of Public Health and Social Work, Faculty of Health,

Queensland University of Technology, Queensland, 4001, Australia.

Rose Leontini: School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Australia, New South Wales, 2052, Australia.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors Professor Billie Bonevski, Dr Ross Wilkinson and Professor John Germov. You were all abundantly helpful and offered invaluable assistance, support, knowledge and guidance. Through my times of stress you reassured me and raised my confidence. I feel blessed to have had the opportunity to work with you all. Thank you.

To my mother, Christine and my son, Curtis,

You have both been a continued unconditional support system - Always and forever grateful to have you both by my side when I need you.

Table of Contents

Statement of Originality & Acknowledgement of Collaboration	2
Acknowledgements	3
List of Tables	7
List of Figures	7
Structured Abstract	8
Literature Review	11
Definition of Risky Alcohol Consumption	12
Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test	13
Prevalence of Risky Alcohol Consumption among University Students	14
Consequences of Risky Alcohol Consumption	17
First-Hand Effects of Risky Alcohol Consumption	18
Second-Hand Effects of Risky Alcohol Consumption	20
Determinants of Risky Alcohol Consumption	21
Coping Motives	22
Self-Enhancement Motives	23
Social Motivation as a Determinant of Risky Alcohol Consumption	23
Summary	25
Aims and Hypotheses	27
Literature Review References	28
Manuscript	38
Abstract	39
Introduction	40
Prevalence of Risky Alcohol Consumption among University Students	41
Consequences of Risky Alcohol Consumption: First-Hand Effect	43
Consequences of Risky Alcohol Consumption: Second-Hand Effects	44
Determinants of Risky Alcohol Consumption: Personal Motivation	45
Determinants of Risky Alcohol Consumption: Social Motivation	47
Summary, Aims & Hypotheses	48
Methods	49
Study Design	49
Participants	49
Measures	50

Procedure	52
Statistical Analyses	52
Results	
Descriptive Analyses of AUDIT Scores	53
Correlations between Social Motives and Risky Alcohol Consumption	55
Correlations between Personal Motives and Risky Alcohol Consumption	56
Correlations between First-Hand Consequences and Risky Alcohol	
Consumption	56
Correlations between Second-Hand Consequences and Risky Alcohol	
Consumption	57
Binary Logistic Regression.	57
Binary Logistic Regression: Social Motives	58
Binary Logistic Regression: Personal Motives	58
Binary Logistic Regression: First-Hand Consequences	60
Binary Logistic Regression: Second-Hand Consequences	60
Interactions between Gender and Motives	63
Interactions between Gender and Consequences	64
Discussion.	66
Limitations, Strengths, Future Research	72
Clinical Implications	73
Conclusion	74
References	75
Appendices	84
Appendix A	84
Appendix B	103

List of Tables

Table 1: University Students Mean AUDIT Scores by Gender, Age and
University54
Table 2: University Students odds of Risky Alcohol Consumption due to Gender and
Age58
Table 3: University Students odds of Risky Alcohol Consumption due to Social
Motives
Table 4: University Students odds of Risky Alcohol Consumption due to Personal
Motives
Table 5: University Students odds of Experiencing First-Hand Consequences due to
Risky Alcohol Consumption61
Table 6: University Students odds of Experiencing Second-Hand Consequences due to
Risky Alcohol Consumption62
Table 7: Interactions between Gender, Social Motives and Risky Alcohol
Consumption63
Table 8: Interactions between Gender, Personal Motives and Risky Alcohol
Consumption64
Table 9: Male Students odds of Experiencing First-Hand Consequences due to Risky
Alcohol Consumption Compared to Female Students65
Table 10: Male Students odds of Experiencing Second-Hand Consequences due to
Risky Alcohol Consumption Compared to Females Students

List of Figures

Figure 1: University students AUDIT scores collapsed into four risk categories.......55

Structured Abstract

Scope

The high prevalence of risky alcohol use among university students is well documented, typically yielding prevalence rates of 40% to 80%. Among university students aged between 18 to 25 years risky alcohol use is clinically problematic as it increases the likelihood of alcohol dependence and abuse occurring. Research reports males pose a greater risk as they are typically found to consume alcohol at riskier levels than their female counterparts. Although research is emerging suggesting the gender gap may be diminishing, there is currently no consensus across the literature. Alcohol-related adverse consequences that follow risky alcohol use have been reported as academic difficulties, interpersonal problems, sexual, verbal and physical assault at both the first-hand and second-hand level. Lines of enquiry in determining alcohol-use motives of university students' risky alcohol consumption have identified personal motivations and social motivations as the reasons for excessive use; although there is no consensus concerning which is the most salient.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to measure the prevalence, determinants, consequences and gender differentials of risky alcohol consumption among Australian university students. In addition to measuring prevalence of risky alcohol consumption, we also investigated alcohol dependence among students. We investigated consequences in terms of first-hand and second-hand effects and examined whether students risky alcohol consumption was better predicted by personal or social motives.

Methodology

This research analysed data from the cross-sectional Alcohol and University Life Survey which consists of questions from several key measures that enable collection of data pertaining to alcohol consumption patterns, alcohol-related harm, and harm minimisation practices. Participants were 3725 Australian university students from five public universities across Australia. Females accounted for roughly 74% (n = 2466) of the population sample and males 26% (n = 853). Mean age was 22 years (SD = 6.8). The three main measures of interest for this research were students' answers pertaining to the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), the College Alcohol Survey and the Three-Dimensional Measure of Drinking Motives.

Results

Results revealed 39% of students drink at risky levels and 41% showed incipience of alcohol dependence within a 12 month period; with 4.6% showing daily alcohol dependence. Male students had a mean AUDIT score of 9.4 (SD = 6.32, 95% CI = 8.98-9.87) indicative of risky alcohol use. Female students had a mean AUDIT score of 7.3 (SD = 5.73, 95% CI = 7.15-7.62) indicating the absence of, or low, alcohol problems. Males were 1.8 times more likely to engage in risky alcohol consumption compared to females, and an increase of each year in age is associated with a decrease in the odds of consuming alcohol at risky levels by a factor of .975. We identified males were between two to four times as likely to experience first-hand and second-hand adverse alcohol-related consequences. However, females were 1.7 times more likely to experience sexual assault. Moreover, males were twice as likely to consume alcohol at risky levels for personal reasons and up to four times as likely for social reasons in contrast to females.

Conclusions and Implications

The risky alcohol consumption among university students is an issue for health and social concern. The results of this study reinforce the importance for the development of an intervention program for university students in order to reduce harm associated with

risky alcohol consumption. Our results could be used as a starting point for the development of such an intervention. Brief interventions should be tailored according to gender and developed to address ways of coping with psychological distress and or social pressures. Additionally, policy makers could use the results of this study to reevaluate the alcohol environment on campuses by addressing special promotions regarding pricing, as well as time and quantity of alcohol availability, for example.